Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ)

Proposition 2 - Property Tax Exemption Program

Docket Numbers

2008-0830-MIS-U (Tenaska Gateway Partners, Ltd. – Rusk County)
2008-0832-MIS-U (Borger Energy Associates, L.P. – Hutchinson County)
2012-1552-MIS-U (Bosque Power Company, L.L.C. – Bosque County)
2012-1562-MIS-U (Cottonwood Energy Company, L.P. – Newton County)
2012-1586-MIS-U (Wolf Hollow, L.P. – Hood County)
2012-1587-MIS-U (South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. – Victoria County)
2012-1648-MIS-U (Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. – Jack County)
2012-1660-MIS-U (Wise County Power Company, L.L.C. – Wise County)
2012-1689-MIS-U (Oxy Vinyls, L.P. – San Patricio County)

IN SUPPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE	§	BEFORE THE
DIRECTOR'S NEGATIVE USE	§	
DETERMINATIONS ISSUED FOR	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
HEAT RECOVERY STEAM GEMERATORS	§	
IN POWER GENERATION PLANTS.	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRITCHARD & ABBOTT, INC. (P&A) BRIEF

ON BEHALF OF THE APPRAISAL DISTRICTS IN THE FOLLOWING COUNTIES: BOSQUE, HOOD, HUTCHINSON, JACK, NEWTON, RUSK, SAN PATRICIO, VICTORIA AND WISE

By: Charles Wayne Frazell, P.E., RPA

I. Property Description

Combined-cycle power plants consist of one or more generators powered by industrial size jets engines. These engines can be fueled by most combustible gas or liquids, but currently, most are fueled by natural gas. The hot exhaust from these engines is passed through a heat recovery steam generator (HRSG). A HRSG is essentially a boiler without the burners. In a combined-

ON ENVIRONMENTAL

cycle plant this boiler creates steam that is sold-or-used-to-turn-an-electric-generator(s)-just like nuclear, coal and older natural gas fired power plants.

II. Rule Change

The TCEQ rules were changed in response to the 2007 Texas Legislature HB 3732. The bill states "(k) The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality shall adopt rules establishing a nonexclusive list of facilities, devices or methods for the control of air, water, or land pollution which must include:" The nonexclusive list has Heat Recovery Steam Generators as the eighth item. This does not say that HRSGs are exempt but only puts them on the list for consideration. Various comments in the bill, after the listing, clearly leave the determination of pollution control to the TCEQ.

A HRSG is often added to recover exhaust gases to preheat water entering the boiler of a conventional electric generating plant to improve efficiency. However, these HRSGs are not the driving force behind the plant production. If a HRSG is **added** just to improve efficiency, the HRSG may qualify for an exemption. That is not the case in combined-cycle power plants. In the case of a combined-cycle power plant, the HRSG is the primary driving force for 30% or more of the electric generation.

III. Compliance

To some it will appear that the boiler that recovers the exhaust heat from the turbine engines qualifies as a pollution control item. This of course ignores the fact that this boiler is a major component of production. It was installed to produce more electricity or steam to sell and not to reduce pollution. If the jet engines were not ducted to the boiler, and burners were added, the HRSG side of the plant would operate as a conventional steam driven plant. It is not the boiler that reduces the pollution. Ducting the hot gases from the jet engine(s) reduces the pollution by reducing the need for an additional heat source (burners).

As a general rule when a component for pollution control is removed, there is little or no loss in production. For example, when a catalytic converter is removed from an engine it still produces the same horsepower. If electronic precipitators are removed from the exhaust of a coal-burning power plant, it still produces the same amount of electricity.

If the boiler (HRSG) is removed from a combined-cycle/cogeneration power plant, production is greatly reduced. Since removal of this component significantly reduces the amount of product (electricity and/or steam) produced, this boiler is primarily production equipment. It is not a pollution control device.

Before now, there were no environmental tax exemptions granted for the HRSG in a combined-cycle power plant. Few, if any, gas-fired steam-powered electric generators have been built since the late 1970s because of the economic advantages of building a combined-cycle power plant. Some simple-cycle gas turbines have been built for peaking purposes, but, economics have driven the construction of combined-cycle generation for gas fueled generating plants.

On September 28, 2005 the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality heard the case docket number 2005-1008-AIR-U Appeal of Use Determination No. 04-8353. This case was between XTO Energy and Freestone County Appraisal District concerning a plant that removes sulfur and CO₂ from natural gas. In this case the TCEQ ruled that those components used directly in production were not pollution control equipment. Since these HRSGs are in the production path, they should be considered production equipment and should be treated in the same way as this previous ruling.

In 1992 the people of Texas voted and approved Proposition 2 creating the current environmental tax exemption. The ballot read "The constitutional amendment to promote the reduction and encourage the preservation of jobs by authorizing the exemption from ad valorem taxation of real and personal property <u>used for the control of air, water, or land pollution</u>." These boilers are used for production and not to control pollution. I believe the majority of the people would have

voted "NO" on this proposition, if they thought it would include production equipment that produces INCOME and is not MANDATED by law!

IV. Limitations

If these HRSGs are found to be exempted, then a detailed description of what will be exempted needs to be provided to all parties. For example, do we also include the deaerator, the condenser, the pumps, all of the steam piping and other equipment installed to produce INCOME? If any exemption is granted in this case, then the TCEQ should provide direction to the applicants and the appraisal districts as to what does and does not qualify.

Just to point out how ridiculous an applicant request can become - if common sense is not exercised - please consider the following example. A case can be made to exempt plant lighting since this yields fewer emissions than gas lamps. Although there are safety and convenience reasons for electric lighting, the primary reason for this type installation is economics - not pollution control. If you say this is not a valid argument because electric lighting is the accepted technology, then we submit that HRSGs in these plants are also the accepted technology used for many years.

The primary reason for building combined-cycle and cogeneration power plants is economics and not pollution control. If the gas turbine(s) is removed, then all you need is a set of burners and an intake fan to have the same production on the steam side. Since this type of boiler is a major component of production, it is not pollution control equipment.

V. Conclusions

The 2007 Texas Legislature HB 3732 required the creation of a nonexclusive list that included HRSGs that the TCEQ must consider. The bill does not specify what is pollution control

equipment. The bill-clearly-leaves the determination of pollution control devices to the TCEQ.

HB 3732 does not mention including equipment that is in place for producing a product.

The boilers in these power plants are installed to produce steam and/or electricity for sale rather than to reduce pollution and do not qualify for a tax exemption. Therefore, we respectfully request that the Negative Use Determination be upheld for the primary boiler (HRSG) of any cogeneration or combined-cycle power plant.

Thank you for your favorable consideration.

Mailing List

TCEQ Docket Numbers

2008-0830-MIS-U (Tenaska Gateway Partners, Ltd.), 2008-0832-MIS-U (Borger Energy Associates, L.P.), 2012-1552-MIS-U (Bosque Power Company, L.L.C.), 2012-1562-MIS-U (Cottonwood Energy Company, L.P.), 2012-1586-MIS-U (Wolf Hollow, L.P.), 2012-1587-MIS-U (South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.), 2012-1648-MIS-U (Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.), 2012-1660-MIS-U (Wise County Power Company, L.L.C.), 2012-1689-MIS-U (Oxy Vinyls, L.P.)

Edward Kliewer Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. 300 Convent Street, Suite 2100 San Antonio, Texas 78205-3792

Tenaska Gateway Partners, LTD. 1044 N, 115th Street, Suite 400 Omaha, Nebraska 68154-4446

Terry Decker, Chief Appraiser Rusk County Appraisal District P. O. Box 7 Henderson, Texas 75653-0007

Chance Goodin TCEQ Office of Air MC 206 P. O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Steve Hagle, Deputy Director TCEQ Office of Air MC 122 P. O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Robert Martinez TCEQ Environmental Law Division MC 173 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Blas Coy TCEQ Office of Public Interest Counsel MC 103 P. O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Docket Clerk TCEQ Office of the Chief Clerk - MC 105 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Kyle Lucas TCEQ Alternative Dispute Resolution Program MC 222 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

Borger Energy Associates, LP Consolidated Asset Management Services 919 Milam Street, Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002

Jules P. Slim Attorney and Counselor P. O, Box 140307 Irving, Texas 75014-0307

Joe Raper, Chief Appraiser Hutchinson County Appraisal District 920 Illinois Avenue Borger, Texas 79117-6112

Gregory Maxim Kathryn Tronsberg Macciocca Duff & Phelps, LLC 200 Market Street, Suite 2700 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

Rosemary Johns, Chief Appraiser Bosque County Appraisal District P. O. Box 393 Meridian, Texas 76665-0393

Greg Maxim Duff and Phelps LLC 919 Congress Ave., Suite 1450 Austin, Texas 78701

Jeff Civins Haynes and Boone, LLP Attorneys and Counselors 600 Congress Ave., Suite 3285 Austin, Texas 78701-3285

Mike Nasi

Jackson Walker L.L.P. 100 Congress Ave., Suite 1100 Austin, Texas 78701

Margie A. Herrin, Chief Appraiser Newton County Appraisal District 109 Court Street Newton, Texas 75966-3202

Cottonwood Energy Company LP Cottonwood Energy Center 976 County Road 4213 Deweyville, Texas 77614

Greg Stewart, Chief Appraiser Hood County Appraisal District P.O. Box 819 Granbury, Texas 76048-1873

Dale Cummings Cummings Westlake LLC 12837 Louetta, Suite201 Cypress, Texas 77429

Wolf Hollow I, LP 9201 Wolf Hollow Ct. Granbury, Texas 76048

John Haliburton, Chief Appraiser Victoria County Appraisal District 2805 N. Navarro Street, Ste. 300 Victoria, Texas 79901-3947

J. M. Harris H&H Associates 406 FM 3016 Grapeland, Texas 75844

South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. Sam Rayburn Power Plant 2849 FM 447 Nursery, Texas 77976

Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. Jack County Generation Facility 3844 Henderson Ranch Road Bridgeport, Texas 76426 Paul Sahahan Fulbright & Jaworski 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1100 Austin, Texas 78701-4255

Mike Myers Brazos Electric Power Coop., Inc. 2404 LaSalle Ave. Waco, Texas 76706

Kathy R. Conner, Chief Appraiser Jack County Appraisal District P. O. Box 958 Jacksboro, Texas 76458-0958

Whitney L. Swift Baker Botts LLP 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500 Austin, Texas 78701-8339

Sydney Free Wise County Power Company, LLC 800 Boons Creek Lane Poolville, Texas 76487

Mickey Hand, Chief Appraiser Wise County Appraisal District 400 East Business 380 Decatur, Texas 76234

Oxy Vinyls LP P.O. Box 27570 Houston, Texas 77227-2757